The importance of peer reviewers

*Frontiers: The Interdisciplinary Journal of Study Abroad* is deeply appreciative of the [hundreds of volunteer professionals](mailto:frontiersjournal@forumea.org) who have served as peer reviewers. We thank them for their time and commitment to a strong and efficient publication process.

The peer review process is essential to scholarly publishing. In *Frontiers*’ 20+ years of experience, the process has resulted in greatly improved and refined manuscripts. The feedback provided by peer reviewers to authors makes manuscripts stronger and the authors’ findings clearer for readers.

Scholars and professionals in the field of education abroad who are interested in serving as a peer reviewer for *Frontiers* are invited to indicate their interest and by [registering as a user](mailto:frontiersjournal@forumea.org) on our website and selecting “Reviewer” on the Roles page of their profile.

*Frontiers* has a responsibility to our readers and to the field of education abroad at large. Editorial decisions are made in light of what best serves those ends. Decisions on acceptance of manuscripts are ultimately the responsibility of the Editors.

Criteria for publication

In order to be published in *Frontiers* a manuscript should:

- Present fresh research or thoughts
- Provide strong evidence to support its conclusions
- Be important to professionals in the field of education abroad
- Be of interest to educators and researchers in related disciplines.

Accepted manuscripts generally represent significant contributions that serve to advance the thinking in the field.

Peer Review Policies

General information

All articles and essays submitted to *Frontiers* undergo peer review. Book reviews are generally reviewed by Book Review Editors only. Reviews, correspondence, published corrections and other forms of contribution may be peer-reviewed at the discretion of the Editors.

Questions regarding the peer review process and the journal’s editorial processes should be sent to frontiersjournal@forumea.org. Questions related to a manuscript should be directed to the Editor assigned to the manuscript using the “Add Discussion” function within the manuscript submission platform.
The review process

All manuscripts submitted to the journal are first read by at least one member of the Editorial Staff. In the interest of time, only those manuscripts deemed likely to meet Frontiers editorial criteria are then sent for formal peer review. Manuscripts judged by the Editor(s) to be unsuited to the mission of the journal, of insufficient interest to the Frontiers readership, or otherwise inappropriate, are rejected without external review.

Manuscripts that meet Frontiers’ editorial criteria are sent for formal peer review, typically to two reviewers. Additional reviewers may be assigned if specialist advice is needed. The Editors then decide based on the reviewers’ advice as provided in the peer reviewer report, weighing other factors as well. The Editors are responsible for communicating decisions on submitted manuscripts to the corresponding author. Possible outcomes are:

- Manuscript is accepted, generally pending minor revisions
- Authors are invited to revise the manuscript significantly to address concerns raised; revisions will be sent to peer reviewer(s) for further assessment before a final decision is reached
- Manuscript is rejected, but authors are advised on changes to pursue to justify a future resubmission
- Manuscript is rejected outright

Editorial decisions are based on several factors and may consider information not available to the peer reviewers or authors.

The Editor(s) may return to reviewers for further information. Reviewers may be asked to provide follow-up advice.

In addition, reviewers may be asked to review subsequent revisions of a manuscript. Resubmitted manuscripts are carefully reviewed to ensure that the manuscript demonstrates a serious attempt to address the criticisms raised in the previous review. New peer reviewers may be engaged at this time at the discretion of the Editors.

Frontiers’ Editors reserve the right to contact funders, regulatory bodies, journals, and the authors’ institutions at any time during the process when cases of suspected research or publishing misconduct arise.

Selecting and assigning peer reviewers

The choice of peer reviewers is based on factors such as disciplinary expertise, professionalism, specific recommendations, and Frontiers’ previous experience with a reviewer.

Before manuscripts are sent for review, potential peer reviewers are contacted to confirm their availability and absence of conflicts of interest. Messages between Editors and (potential) peer reviewers contain confidential information. Peer reviewers are asked to maintain in perpetuity the confidentiality of any information they receive in this process.

Peer reviewers who are slow or careless in their reports, or who do not provide reasoned views are generally not invited to review again.
All manuscripts submitted to *Frontiers* that are selected for peer review are sent to at least one, but usually two or more, peer reviewers. At the time of submission, authors may suggest suitable peer reviewers. They may also request that Editors exclude certain individuals from consideration for the role. Suggested reviewers should not represent a conflict of interest with any of the authors of the submission, nor have any past or present relationship with any of the authors that would impede their ability to provide an objective and unbiased review. The Editors reserve the right to choose appropriate reviewers for a submitted manuscript, and their choice of referees is final.

**Timing**

*Frontiers* is committed to efficient editorial decisions and publication which we believe is a valuable service to our authors and to the field of education abroad as a whole. Peer reviewers are asked to respond promptly within the number of days agreed. If, at any time during the review process, a peer reviewer anticipates a delay, they are asked to inform the Editor as soon as possible so that the Editor(s), in turn, can keep authors informed and find alternatives if necessary.

**Anonymity**

*Frontiers* does not release peer reviewers’ identities to authors or to other reviewers during the review and editing process or after the publication of an accepted article. Should peer reviewers believe they know the identity of an author, we ask peer reviewers not to identify themselves to authors. Authors and/or peer reviewers are asked to inform the Editor as soon as possible if a reviewer reveals his or her identity to the author before a decision on the manuscript has been reached.

Any attempt by authors to confront a peer reviewer or determine her/his identity is unacceptable. *Frontiers* Editor(s) will neither confirm nor deny any speculation about reviewers' identities.

**Double-blind peer review**

*Frontiers* makes every attempt to maintain a double-blind peer review process. Author names, institutional/organizational affiliations, and file metadata are removed from all manuscripts before they are sent out for review. To further guarantee anonymity, authors are responsible for removing author names, job titles, institution or organization names, office or department names, and proper names of study abroad programs before submission, as well as any other information that may make the individuals and institutions involved identifiable. The identifying information may be reinserted into accepted manuscripts at the revision and copyediting phase.

**Confidentiality**

All details of the editorial and peer review process must be kept confidential by Editors, authors, and peer reviewers. Peer reviewers must maintain confidentiality of manuscripts, regardless of whether or not a submitted manuscript is eventually published.

**Online manuscript review**

Peer reviewers are asked to submit their reports via our secure online journal management platform by following the link provided in the Editor's email. Questions or concerns related to the submission of the form can be directed to the Editor who requested the review.
Transmitting and editing referees' reports

It is the *Frontiers* Editors’ policy not to suppress reviewers' reports. Peer reviewers are provided instructions and guiding questions to help them prepare their reports. Any comments for authors’ improvement of the manuscript are transmitted. However, a report may be edited for clarity, or to remove repetition, offensive language, or comments that reveal confidential information.